UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL			
	David Lewis Many Defendant	Case No. 1:12 CR 188			
	Ifter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, efendant be detained pending trial.	18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require			
	Part I – Findings o	of Fact			
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S a federal offense a state or local offense that would existed – that is				
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which the prison term is 10 years or more.				
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.				
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten year	rs or more is prescribed in:			
	a felony committed after the defendant had been convicuous. S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local	eted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 offenses.			
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves: a minor victim				
	the possession or use of a firearm or destrue a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250	uctive device or any other dangerous weapon			
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the or local offense.	defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state			
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date offense described in finding (1).	of conviction defendant's release from prison for the			
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption the person or the community. I further find that defendant has not				
	Alternative Findin	gs (A)			
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has con	nmitted an offense			
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.) under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	s prescribed in: *			
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by	ov finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions			
(2)	will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the sa				
	Alternative Findin	gs (B)			
√ (1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.				
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the sa	fety of another person or the community.			
	Part II – Statement of the Reas	sons for Detention			
I find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention hearing establishes by — clear and convincing					
	a preponderance of the evidence that: nt and counsel waived a detention hearing on the record. Det	ention is ordered on the basis of the Pretrial Services			
aciciida	ini and counsel waived a detendon healing on the record. Det	endon is oldered on the basis of the Fredial Services			

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Report. Defendant may move for a de novo hearing on the basis of changed circumstances.

Date:	August 17, 2012	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Joseph G. Scoville
		Name and Title:	Joseph G. Scoville, U.S. Magistrate Judge